SOUT	HERN	ATES DISTRICT CO	YORK	v	
		LLC, et al.,		: :	
		-V-	Plaintiff(s),	: : :	20 Civ. 1608 (LGS)
Abel Makkonen Tesfaye, et al.,			Defendant(s).	: <u>ORDER</u>	
LORN	IA G. S	SCHOFIELD, United S	States District Judg	e:	
Civ. P.	This (nt Plan is submitted	l by the pa	arties in accordance with Fed. R.
1.	proces 28 U.S	S.C. § 636(c). The par	States Magistrate rties are free to wit	Judge, inchhold con	conducting all further eluding motions and trial. See sent without adverse substantive ragraphs need not be completed.]
2.	The p	arties [have / l	nave not] c	onferred 1	oursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f).
3.		case is governed by one in this order have been			es, and the parties' proposed
	a.	An employment cases? https://nysd.u [Yes/ No	uscourts.gov/hon-lo		covery Protocols for Employment nofield.
	b. A case governed by Local Civil Rule 83.10, Plan for Certain § 1983 Cases Against the City of New York? https://nysd.uscourts.gov/rules . [Yes/ No]				
	c.	1	<u>.gov/rules</u> and <u>http</u>		nd the Court's Individual Rules? scourts.gov/hon-lorna-g-
	d.	Standards Act?			

4.	Alternative Dispute Resolution/Settlement				
	a.	Settlement discussions [have / have not _x] taken place.			
	b.	Counsel for the parties have discussed an informal exchange of information in aid of early settlement and have agreed to exchange the following: The parties intend to submit a protective order and exchange copies of their respective works.			
	c.	Counsel for the parties have discussed the use of the following alternate dispute resolution mechanisms for use in this case: (i) a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge; (ii) participation in the District's Mediation Program; and (iii) retention of a private mediator. Counsel for the parties propose the following alternate dispute resolution mechanism for this case: (i) a settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge			
	d.	Counsel for the parties recommend that the alternate dispute resolution mechanism designated in paragraph 4(c) be employed at the following point in the case (e.g., within the next 60 days; after the deposition of plaintiff is completed (specify date); after the close of fact discovery): Plaintiffs recommend mediation after the close of fact discovery. Defendants recommend mediation after (1) the close of an initial phase of sound recording expert disclosures and discovery, if the Court orders that initial phase, or (2) the close of expert discovery, if an initial phase of sound recording expert discovery is not ordered.			
	e.	The use of any alternative dispute resolution mechanism does not stay or modify any date in this Order.			
5.	No ac	dditional parties may be joined after without leave of Court.			
6.	Ameı	Amended pleadings may be filed without leave of Court untilJuly 29, 2020			
7.	May 6	l disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be completed no later than 5, 2020 days from the date of this Order. [Within 14 days of the parties' Rule 26(f) rence, absent exceptional circumstances.]			
8.	Fact 1	Discovery See parties' joint letter for proposed dates for this section.			
	a.	All fact discovery shall be completed no later than [A period not to exceed 120 days, unless the Court finds that the case presents unique complexities or other exceptional circumstances.]			

	b.	be served by . Civ. P. 34 shall
	c.	Responsive documents shall be produced by Do the parties anticipate e-discovery? [Yes _x _ / No]
	d.	Interrogatories pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33 shall be served by
	e.	Depositions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30, 31 shall be completed by
	f.	Requests to admit pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36 shall be served by
	g.	Any of the deadlines in paragraphs 8(b) through 8(f) may be extended by the written consent of all parties without application to the Court, provided that all fact discovery is completed by the date set forth in paragraph 8(a).
9.	Expert	Discovery [if applicable] See parties' joint letter for proposed dates for this section.
	a.	Anticipated types of experts if any:
		he parties anticipate using musicology experts. Defendants also anticipate a sound cording expert and experts as to the allocation of profits per 17 U.S.C. § 504(b).
	b.	If you have identified types of experts in question 9(a), all expert discovery shall be completed no later than [Within 45 days from the date in paragraph 8(a), i.e., the completion of all fact discovery, absent exceptional circumstances.] Omit if you have not identified types of experts.
	c.	If you have identified types of experts in question 9(a), by [no later than one month before the date in paragraph 8(a), i.e., the completion of all fact discovery] the parties shall meet and confer on a schedule for expert disclosures, including reports, production of underlying documents and depositions, provided that (i) expert report(s) of the party with the burden of proof shall be due before those of the opposing party's expert(s); and (ii) all expert discovery shall be completed by the date set forth in paragraph 9(b).
10.	This ca	ase [is / is not] to be tried to a jury.
11.		el for the parties have conferred and their present best estimate of the length of trial 4-5 days

12. Other issues to be addressed at the Initial Pretrial Conference, including those set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3), are set forth below:

The parties request a separate phase of expert discovery as set forth in the parties' joint letter. The parties will meet and confer on issues about disclosure, discovery, or preservation of electronically stored information at the time of production.

The parties intend to seek a protective order.

13.	Statu	s Letters and Conferences	See parties' joint letter for proposed dates for this section.
	a.		fter the commencement of fact discovery], the parties ter, as outlined in Individual Rule IV.A.2.
	b.	submit a joint status letter, a that they have not already be	ys after the close of fact discovery], the parties shall so outlined in Individual Rule IV.A.2 and, in the event een referred for settlement discussions, shall also not they request a referral for settlement discussions e) above.
	c.	On at discovery], a pre-motion con motions, provided:	A.M. [usually 14 days after the close of all nference will be held for any anticipated dispositive
		shall file a pre-motion in the form provided wishing to oppose shape of the shape o	le a summary judgment or other dispositive motion in letter at least two weeks before the conference and in the Court's Individual Rule III.A.1. Any party hall file a responsive letter as provided in the same e motion will be discussed at the conference.
		the matter placed on	er is timely filed, this conference will be canceled and the Court's trial-ready calendar. The parties will be led trial-ready date and the filing deadlines for pretrial

submissions. The parties are warned that any settlement discussions will

not stay pretrial deadlines or the trial date.

This Order may not be modified or the dates herein extended, except as provided in paragraph 8(f)) or by further Order of this Court for good cause shown. Any application to modify or extend the dates herein, except as provided in paragraph 8(f), shall be made in a written application in accordance with the Court's Individual Rules and shall be made no less than 2 business days prior to the expiration of the date sought to be extended.

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter the dates under paragraphs 5, 6, 8(a), 9(b)-(c) and 13(a)-(c) into the Court's calendar.

SO ORDERED.	
Dated: New York, New York	
	LORNA G. SCHOFIELD United States District Judge
Counsel for the Parties:	